

# Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/865,287

Examiner

Nabil M El-Hady

Applicant(s)

TRACEY, DAVID C.

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 8/20/2004.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3, 6, 9-11, 14, 17-19, 22, 25-29, 32, 36-44, 46, 48-56, and 58, now as 1-38.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 25 May 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of the:
    1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
  6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
    - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
      - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
    - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

## Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)                                   |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                | 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),<br>Paper No./Mail Date <u>9/16/2004</u> . |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment   |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit<br>of Biological Material          | 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance                                     |
|   | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____   |

*N. El-Hady*

### EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jaya Nair, Reg. No. 46,454 on September 13, 2004.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

I. In claim 1:

a) line 4, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 8, after "box" insert --;

wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted includes:

calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

II. Cancel claim 4.

Art Unit: 2154

III. Cancel claim 8.

IV. In claim 9:

a) line 5, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 9, after "box; " insert --

wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted in the encoded method includes:

calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

V. Cancel claim 12.

VI. Cancel claim 16.

VII. In claim 17:

a) lines 1-2, replace " A computing device programmed to perform a method for" with -- A method programmed in a computing device to perform --;

b) line 4, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 8, after "box; " insert --

wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted in the programmed method includes:

Art Unit: 2154

calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

VIII. In claim 18:

a) line 1, replace "computing device" with -- method --.

IX. In claim 19:

a) line 1, replace "computing device" with -- method --.

X. Cancel claim 20.

XI. In claim 22:

a) line 1, replace "computing device" with -- method --.

XII. Cancel claim 23.

XIII. In claim 25:

a) line 1, replace "computing device of claim 23" with -- method of claim 22--.

IXV. In claim 26:

a) line 1, replace "computing device of claim 23" with -- method of claim 22--.

Art Unit: 2154

XV. In claim 27:

a) line 4, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 8, after "box;" insert --

wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted includes:

means for calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

means for comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

XVI. Cancel claim 30.

XVII. Cancel claim 33.

XVIII. In claim 36:

a) line 6, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 11, after "box;" insert --

wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted includes:

calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

Art Unit: 2154

IXX. In claim 44:

a) line 2, delete -- , and wherein determining whether the Motif dialog box is to be supplanted includes:

calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted" --.

XX. In claim 48:

a) line 6, after "top" insert -- of --;

b) line 12, after "includes:" insert --

means for calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call;

means for comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted; --.

XXI. In claim 56:

a) line 2, delete --, and the means includes:

means for calling a naming function to identify the Motif dialog box in the intercepted call; and

means for comparing the name returned by the naming function against a plurality of Motif dialog box names that are to be supplanted --.

Art Unit: 2154

3. Pursuant to MPEP 606.01, the title has been changed to read:

-- SUPPLANTING MOTIF DIALOG BOXES VIA MODIFYING INTERCEPTED  
FUNCTION CALLS FROM AN APPLICATION --.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabil M El-Hady whose telephone number is (703) 308-7990. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 15, 2004



Nabil El-Hady, Ph.D, M.B.A.  
Primary Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2154